

Shepherd University Educator Preparation Program Teacher Candidate Dispositional Assessment

Teacher Candidate Name	Course / ID	Semester/Year
Evaluator		Date of Evaluation

Notes to Evaluator: The Shepherd University School of Education appreciates the time, effort, and expertise you bring to this evaluation process. Please use the following scale to rate the teacher candidate on each disposition by choosing the corresponding number for each criteria. Each cell within the rubric includes the criteria / indicator for each disposition. The total of the ratings across the dispositions will be calculated to determine the candidate's overall score. Lastly, please add comments to support any of the ratings as needed. Dispositions are aligned to CAEP Standard R3.3 as well as the Danielson Framework for Teaching, InTASC Model Core Standards, the Marzano Causal Teacher Evaluation Model, and the WV Professional Teaching Standards.

- 2 = Meets Expectations: Considerable evidence of understanding and commitment to the disposition
- 1 = Developing: Some evidence of understanding and commitment to the disposition
- 0 = Needs Improvement: Minimal evidence of understanding and commitment to the disposition

		Associated Criteria / Indicators		
	Needs Improvement = 0	Developing = 1	Meets Expectations = 2	
1. Demonstrates Effective Oral Communication Skills (CAEP: 3.3; Danielson: 3a; InTASC: 2, 3, 8; WVPTS: 3B)	 Does not consistently demonstrate professional oral communication skills as evidenced by <i>significant</i> errors in language and/or grammar. Fails to maintain interest and support participation due to excessive monotone, inappropriate rate, and/or volume. Choice of vocabulary is either too difficult or too simplistic. 	 Demonstrates professional oral communication skills as evidenced by using appropriate language and grammar yet makes some common and noticeable errors. Strives to vary rate, volume, and/or emphasis to engage others, resulting in a lack of interest or participation from only some. Occasionally uses vocabulary that is either too difficult or too simplistic. 	 Demonstrates strong professional oral communication skills as evidenced by using appropriate language and grammar for the learning environment with few to no mistakes. Varies delivery techniques (tone, rate, volume, emphasis, gestures) to engage others and encourage participation from everyone. Communicates with others at an ageappropriate level, as evidenced by explaining content-specific vocabulary as needed. 	

Disposition	Associated Indicators		
	Needs Improvement = 0	Developing = 1	Meets Expectations = 2
2. Demonstrates Effective Written Communication Skills (CAEP: 3.3; Danielson: 3a; InTASC: 2, 3, 8; WVPTS: 3B)	 In writing, communicates in tones that are harsh or negative as evidenced by negative responses or distancing from others. Demonstrates <i>significant</i> spelling, mechanical, and/or grammatical errors as well as <i>common</i> mistakes that interfere with the ability to communicate effectively. 	 □ In writing, communicates in tones that are respectful and positive but may include detectable negative undertones, as evidenced by unproductive and/or unenthusiastic responses from others. □ Demonstrates <i>common</i> spelling, mechanical, and/or grammatical errors that do not interfere with the ability to communicate purpose or message. 	 □ Communicates respectfully and positively in writing as evidenced by fostering conventional or enthusiastic responses from others. □ Demonstrates few to no errors in spelling, grammar, or mechanics; clearly and effectively communicates purpose or message.
Comments:			

(College of Charleston, 2019; Valencia College, 2022; Watermark, 2018)

	Needs Improvement = 0	Developing = 1	Meets Expectations = 2
	Does not respond to communications and/or does not submit assignments.	Responds to communications but those responses are delayed; submits assignments late and/or not as directed.	Responds promptly to communications and submits all assignments on time and as directed.
3. Demonstrates Professionalism (CAEP: 3.3; Danielson: 4; InTASC: 9(0), 10; Marzano: 44, 55; WVPTS: 3F, 4)	 □ Fails to exhibit punctuality and/or attendance in the classroom and/or in the field. □ Displays dishonesty or disrespect in interactions with others; may violate the University, state, and/or local school/district Professional Code(s) of Conduct. □ Divulges inappropriate <i>personal</i> life issues within the classroom and/or field setting. □ When required, functions as a group member with little or no participation; work may be detrimental to the 	 □ Inconsistently punctual and/or present. □ May unintentionally cross minor boundaries and/or ethical or professional standards of practice as identified in University, state, and/or local school/district Professional Code(s) of Conduct. Responds well to correction and/or feedback; is honest and respectful in interactions with others. □ Occasionally divulges inappropriate <i>personal</i> life issues within the classroom/field setting, but such errors are minimal and are not repeated after correction. □ Functions as a collaborative group member as evidenced by minimal levels of 	 □ Consistently punctual and present. or Maintains and upholds professional boundaries as well as both ethical and professional standards of practice as outlined in University, state, and local school/district Code(s) of Conduct. □ Ensures all inappropriate <i>personal</i> life issues are kept out of classroom/field setting. □ Actively participates in the collaborative group experience while consistently contributing to group learning and utilizing the knowledge and skills gained in class and/or in the field to enhance the overall experience.
Comments:	collective efforts of the group.	participation towards productive outcomes; may tend to either monopolize conversation or contribute only when prompted.	

Disposition	Associated Indicators		
	Needs Improvement = 0	Developing = 1	Meets Expectations = 2
4.			
Demonstrates a Positive and Enthusiastic Attitude (CAEP: 3.3; Danielson: 3; InTASC: 10(t); Marzano: 29; WVPTS: 3F, 5)	 ☐ Resists change. ☐ May appear offended when suggestions are made to try new ideas/activities. ☐ Demonstrates a flattened affect in the classroom and/or field as evidenced by a lack of expressive gestures and/or vocal expressions. Seems unable or unwilling to build positive relationships with others. 	 □ Reluctant to change. □ Willing to try new ideas/activities that are suggested yet is often unsure of how to proceed with support or assistance. □ Consistently overlooks opportunities to demonstrate positive affect and build positive relationships with others. 	 □ Actively seeks solutions to problems without prompting or complaining and demonstrate flexibility in thinking and/or doing. □ Enthusiastic to try new ideas/activities that are suggested. Consistently able to assume initiative for doing so. □ Demonstrates an appropriately positive affect with others as evidenced by both verbal and non-verbal cues. Strives to build positive relationships with others.
Comments:			

(MSSU, n.d.; Watermark, 2018; WVDE, 2010)

	Needs Improvement = 0	Developing = 1	Meets Expectations = 2
5. Demonstrates Preparedness in Teaching and Learning (CAEP: 3.3; Danielson: 1e, 3e, 4a; InTASC: 1(k), 3(p), 7(q), 8(s), 9(l); Marzano: DQ5, 50, 51, 52, 57; WVPTS: 3f, 4c, 4d)	□ Is not receptive to constructive feedback and/or shows no signs of implementing recommended change(s). □ Shows no evidence of the ability to reflect upon professional practices / acknowledge own limitations or strengths. May possess an inaccurate perception of teaching/learning effectiveness as evidenced by limited concept of how to improve. □ Always or often comes to class/field unprepared for class/professional tasks or responsibilities. □ Does not have awareness to alter lessons in progress as evidenced by activating no changes when needed.	 □ Somewhat resistant to constructive feedback as evidenced by a lack of follow through on some suggestions but implements other changes without issue. □ Demonstrates the ability to engage in reflection regarding own limitations and strengths by revising professional practices via personal interactions and/or through work product. Reflections may contain inaccuracies as candidate may need assistance to determine corrective measures for improvement. □ Sometimes or occasionally comes to class/field unprepared to complete class/professional tasks or responsibilities. □ Aware that lesson is not working but does not yet understand how to alter plans to adjust to student needs. 	 □ Is receptive to constructive feedback, implements changes, and actively seeks feedback from others. □ Demonstrates strong evidence of self-reflection regarding limitations and strengths of professional practices through personal interactions and work product; applies revised practices in the professional setting to create an ongoing and sustained continuous improvement cycle. □ Always arrives to class/field prepared to complete class/professional tasks or responsibilities. □ Alters lessons in progress when needed as evidenced by ability to change plan(s) midlesson to overcome any observable deficits.
Comments:			

Disposition	Associated Indicators		
	Needs Improvement = 0	Developing = 1	Meets Expectations = 2
Exhibits an Appreciation of and Value for Diversity and Respect for Others CAEP: 3.3; Danielson: 1b, 2a, 2b; nTASC: 2(m), 2(n), 2(o), 3(o), 9(m), 0(q); Marzano: 36, 37, 56; WVPTS: a, 2b, 2c)	 □ Inequitably interacts with and responds to diverse students. □ Is unaware of opportunities to develop cross-cultural understandings. Fails to recognize opportunities to encourage cultural sensitivities and perspectives. □ Is nonresponsive to students' individual differences. Is unaware of culturally responsive pedagogical practices. □ Neglects to acknowledge or is seemingly unaware of personal biases and/or privileges. □ Struggles to create a safe classroom environment. □ Seems to allow, ignore, and/or is unaware of negative (e.g., bullying) behaviors. 	 □ Is aware of the need to interact with and respond to all diverse students equitably but may struggle to do so. □ Attempts to respond to opportunities to develop cross-cultural understandings and recognizes individual differences. □ Designs lessons that provide attention to some cultural and academic diversity. Is aware of culturally responsive pedagogical practice. Creates opportunities for some multiculturalism perspective / activities. □ Attempts to encourage cultural sensitivities and perspectives via acknowledging personal biases and/or privileges. □ Strives to create a safe classroom environment with zero tolerance of negative behaviors where some attention is paid to student differences. □ Encourages respect for self, peers, and adults. The teacher is aware of bullying characteristics but may inconsistently intervene and/or needs further support / development in accomplishing this task. 	cultural and academic diversity. Is aware of culturally responsive pedagogical practices and attempts to model them. Strives to create multicultural perspective / activities. Encourages cultural sensitivity and perspectives via acknowledging personal biases and/or privileges. Creates a safe environment with zero tolerance of negative behavior towards others and where students' differences are celebrated.

Rarely engages with colleagues/peers and has minimum interaction with student(s) or parent/guardian(s) to build relationships. Collaborates Effectively with Others (CAEP: 3.3; Danielson: 4c, 4d; InTASC: 1(k), 3(n), 3(a), 7(o); Marzano: 55, 56; WVPTS: 3b, 4b, 5f) May demonstrate self-centeredness and/or lack a positive demeanor. Rarely engages with colleagues/peers and has minimum interaction with student(s) or parent/guardian(s) occasionally to build relationships and/or with colleagues/peers as required. Demonstrates a willingness to consider/incorporate new ideas/solutions and to collaborate or share ideas/strategies/solutions with others. Demonstrates a willingness to consider/incorporate new ideas/solutions and to collaborate or share ideas/strategies/solutions with others. Typically demonstrates a respectful tone and regard for others; is usually fair and equitable and eventempered. Typically refrains from self-centered comments and demonstrates a positive demeanor. Typically refrains from self-centered comments and demonstrates a positive demeanor. Demeanor is positive, humble, and generous.		Needs Improvement = 0	Developing = 1	Meets Expectations = 2
	Collaborates Effectively with Others (CAEP: 3.3; Danielson: 4c, 4d; InTASC: 1(k), 3(n), 3(q), 7(o); Marzano: 55, 56; WVPTS: 3b, 4b, 5f)	colleagues/peers and has minimum interaction with student(s) or parent/guardian(s) to build relationships. Rarely considers/incorporates new ideas/solutions and seems unable or unwilling to collaborate or share ideas/strategies/solutions with others. Typically demonstrates a respectful tone and/or regard for others; may struggle to be fair, equitable, and/or even-tempered. May demonstrate self-centeredness	parent/guardian(s) occasionally to build relationships and/or with colleagues/peers as required. Demonstrates a willingness to consider/incorporate new ideas/solutions and to collaborate or share ideas/strategies/solutions with others. Typically demonstrates a respectful tone and regard for others; is usually fair and equitable and eventempered. Typically refrains from self-centered comments and	interact with student(s), parent/guardian(s), and/or colleagues/peers to build relationships. Takes initiative to seek out new ideas/solutions. Consistently collaborates and proactively shares ideas/strategies/solutions with others. Exhibits a respectful tone and significant regard for others at all times as evidenced by the following: not interrupting or talking over others; actively listening for understanding and asking for feedback and/or clarification; and being even-tempered even in sensitive situations.

(MSSU, n.d.; UNCC, 2018; Watermark, 2018)

Disposition	Associated Indicators			
	Needs Improvement = 0	Developing = 1	Meets Expectations = 2	
8. Demonstrates Self-Regulation and Initiative (CAEP:3.3; Danielson: 4e; InTASC: 9(n), 10(r), 10(t); Marzano: 57; WVPTS 4) Comments:	 □ Seemingly is unable to self-correct own weaknesses; does not ask for support or continuously seeks support from others. □ Struggles to accept and apply constructive feedback; occasionally incorporates ideas for improvement. □ Does not demonstrate initiative in either classroom or field-based tasks. 	 Beginning to recognize own weaknesses and asks for support; is making some effort to become involved in own professional growth and development. Accepts and attempts to apply constructive feedback; sometimes struggles to incorporate ideas for improvement but strives to balance seeking assistance and working independently. Responsibly takes initiative in most classroom and/or field-based tasks. 	 □ Recognizes own weaknesses and/or identifies issues themselves and works towards a solution or attempts to improve before seeking support. Consistently and effectively balances seeking assistance and working independently. □ Readily and positively accepts and applies constructive feedback, incorporates ideas for improvement, and demonstrates evidence of authentic reflection. □ Responsibly takes initiative in ALL classroom and/or field-based tasks. 	
(MSSLL p.d.: UNCC 2019: Watermark 2019)				

	Needs Improvement = 0	Developing = 1	Meets Expectations = 2
9. Exhibits Social and Emotional Intelligence and Affective Capacity (CAEP:3.3; Danielson: 4; InTASC: 3(r), 4(q), 9(m), 9(n), 10(r), 10(t); Marzano: 37, 38; WVPTS 4)	 □ Overreacts to sensitive issues and/or social/emotional obstacles. Does not demonstrate perseverance and resilience as evidenced by giving up easily. □ Rarely recognizes own limitations as well as the impact of their own thoughts/beliefs. □ Demonstrates a lack of sensitivity to the feelings of others as evidenced by a lack of compassion and/or social awareness. □ Does not consistently interact with others (students, parents, colleagues, peers, and/or instructors) in ways that encourage active engagement. Uses destructive or other inappropriate language or behavior. Does not reasonably allow others to express ideas. 	Recognizes social and emotional obstacles but struggles to overcome; demonstrates some characteristics of perseverance and resilience. Inconsistently recognizes own limitations as well as the impact of their own thoughts/beliefs. Is sometimes able to identify issues; will work toward personal improvement with guidance and support. Recognizes the feelings of others and social awareness and responds positively and/or accordingly in most situations. Consistently interacts with others (students, parents, colleagues, peers, and/or instructors) in ways that encourage active engagement, such as being courteous, demonstrating consistency and impartiality, and providing opportunities for others to express or demonstrate their needs and viewpoints.	 □ Demonstrates ability to overcome social and emotional obstacles as well as perseverance and resilience. □ Consistently recognizes own limitations as well as the impact of their own thoughts/beliefs. Able to identify issues and works toward personal improvement accordingly. □ Demonstrates a sensitivity to the feelings of others as evidenced by a proactive, compassionate, and empathetic social awareness. □ Behaviors consistently communicate respect and understanding of the relationship between one's own actions and others (e.g., professionals, colleagues, peers, parents, and students). Encourages and supports participation and success for <i>all</i>.
Comments:			

(MSSU, n.d.; UNCC, 2018; Watermark, 2018)

TOTAL SCORE ACROSS ALL NINE DISPOSITIONS:

References

- American Association of Colleges & Universities. (2022). VALUE rubrics. Retrieved from https://www.aacu.org/initiatives/value-initiative/value-rubrics
- CCSSO Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium. (2013). InTASC model core teaching standards and learning progressions for teachers 1.0.

 Retrieved from https://ccsso.org/sites/default/files/2017-12/2013_INTASC_Learning_Progressions_for_Teachers.pdf
- College of Charleston. (2019). Rubric repository. Retrieved from https://assessment.cofc.edu/assessment-rubrics/rubric-repository.php
- Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation. (2021). *CAEP Revised 2022 standards workbook*. Retrieved from https://caepnet.org/~/media/Files/caep/accreditation-resources/caep-2022-standards-workbook-final.pdf?la=en
- Danielson, C. (2013). *Danielson's framework for teaching Teachscape rubric*. Retrieved from http://www.nysed.gov/common/nysed/files/danielson-teacher-rubric-2013-instructionally-focused.pdf
- Learning Sciences Marzano Center. (2013). *The Marzano teacher evaluation model*. Retrieved from https://www.learningsciences.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/The-Marzano-Teacher-Evaluation-Model.pdf
- Missouri Southern State University Department of Teacher Education. (n.d.). Standards of professional conduct/disposition profile final scoring guide. Retrieved from https://www.mssu.edu/academics/education/teacher/pdf-uploads/PDP%20Final%20Scoring%20Guide%20MSSU.pdf
- University of North Carolina at Charlotte. (2018). Cato College of Education professional dispositions plan. Retrieved from https://education.charlotte.edu/sites/education.charlotte.edu/files/media/dispositions/COED%20Professional%20Dispositions%20Plan_All_Updated-2018.pdf
- Valencia College. (2022). Rubrics for oral and written communication. Retrieved from https://valenciacollege.edu/academics/academic-affairs/learning-assessment/documents/communicaterubrics.pdf
- Watermark. (2018). *Educator disposition assessment*. Retrieved from https://www.etsu.edu/coe/educator-preparation/documents/educator_disposition_assessment.pdf
- West Virginia Department of Education. (2010). West Virginia professional teaching standards. Retrieved from https://wvde.state.wv.us/teachwv/docs/wvde1890master.pdf